# Rivlin's Theorem on Walsh Equiconvergence

## Н. Р. Dікsніт\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Jabalpur, Jabalpur (M.P.), India

#### A. SHARMA

Department of Mathematics, University of Alberta, Edmonton (T6G 2G1) Alberta, Canada

V. SINGH\*

Department of Mathematics, University of Patiala, Patiala, India

AND

## F. STENGER

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.

Communicated by T. J. Rivlin

Received July 30, 1985

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently Rivlin [2] has given a very interesting extension of Walsh's theorem on equiconvergence. Let C denote the complex plane, and let  $\mathscr{A}(D(\rho)), 1 < \rho < \infty$ , be the class of functions f that are analytic on the disc  $D(\rho) = \{z \in C: |z| < \rho\}$  and have a singularity on the circle  $\{z \in C: |z| = \rho\}$ . If  $f(z) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}$ , we denote by  $S_{n}(f; z)$  the partial sum  $\sum_{0}^{n} a_{j} z^{j}$ . For a positive integer m = nq + c, where q, c are fixed integers, let  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/m}$ . If  $\pi_{n}$  denotes the family of all polynomials of degree  $\leq n$  and if  $p_{n,m}(f; z)$  denotes such a polynomial minimizing

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} |f(\omega^k) - q_n(\omega^k)|^2$$
(1.1)

over all polynomials  $q_n \in \Pi_n$ , then Rivlin proved

\* These two authors would like to acknowledge support from NSERC 3094 while this research was in progress.

#### DIKSHIT ET AL.

**THEOREM** A. Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(D(\rho))$  and let q be a fixed positive integer. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (p_{n,m}(f;z) - S_n(f;z)) = 0,$$
(1.2)

for all  $z \in D(\rho^{1+q})$ , the convergence being uniform and geometric in  $|z| \leq \tau < \rho^{1+q}$ , where m = nq + c, c a fixed integer. Moreover, the result is best possible in the sense that (1.2) fails for every z satisfying  $|z| = \rho^{1+q}$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{A}(D(\rho))$ .

When m = n + 1, Theorem A reduces to a well-known theorem of J. L. Walsh [5, 4].

Rivlin gave another extension of Walsh's theorem for functions analytic in the ellipse  $\mathscr{E}(\rho)$  in C which is the image of the disc  $D(\rho)$  under the mapping  $z = \frac{1}{2}(w + w^{-1})$ . Let  $\mathscr{A}(\mathscr{E}(\rho))$  denote the class of functions f that are analytic on  $\mathscr{E}(\rho)$  but not on any region containing the closure of  $\mathscr{E}(\rho)$ . Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} A_{k} T_{k}(z), \qquad (1.3)$$

where  $T_k(z)$  is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree k and where the prime means that the first term in Eq. (1.3) is to be halved. Let  $\xi_j^{(m)}$  (j=1,...,m)be the zeros of  $T_m(x)$  (i.e.,  $\xi_j^{(m)} = \cos[(2j-1)\pi/2m], j=1,...,m)$ , and let  $u_{n,m}(f;z)$  denote the algebraic polynomial which minimizes

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} |f(\xi_j^{(m)}) - p_n(\xi_j^{(m)})|^2$$
(1.4)

over all polynomials  $p_n \in \Pi_n$ . If  $S_n(f; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} A_k T_k(z)$ , then Rivlin proved

THEOREM B [2]. If  $f \in \mathscr{A}(\mathscr{E}(\rho))$  and q is any integer >1, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (u_{n,m}(f;z) - S_n(f;z)) = 0, \qquad m = nq + c$$
(1.5)

for all z in  $\mathscr{E}(\rho^{2q-1})$ , the convergence being uniform and geometric on  $\mathscr{E}(\tau)$  for  $\tau < \rho^{2q-1}$ .

In addition, Rivlin also showed that Theorem B is also true if we replace  $u_{n,m}(f; z)$  by the polynomial  $t_{n,m}(f; z)$  which minimizes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} |f(\eta_k^{(m)}) - p_n(\eta_k^{(m)})|^2, \qquad (1.6)$$

where  $\eta_k^{(m)}$  (k = 1, ..., m) are the extrema of  $T_n(x)$  on [-1, 1].

The method of Rivlin is based on the properties of Chebyshev polynomials and their zeros. This makes a further extension of his results difficult. Our purpose here is to propose a mixed problem of interpolation and  $l_2$ -approximation and to extend Rivlin's result in two directions. As a special case we obtain "help" functions which give larger regions of equiconvergence as in [1].

In Section 2 we state the problem and the main results in Theorems 1 and 2. Section 3 deals with the proof of Theorem 1, and the proof of Theorem 2 is given in Section 4.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULT

Let  $A(\rho)$  denote the ring  $\{z \in C: \rho^{-1} < |z| < \rho\}, \rho > 1$ , and let  $\mathscr{A}(A(\rho))$  denote the class of functions f which are analytic on  $A(\rho)$  but not on any region containing the closure of  $A(\rho)$ . Let us set

$$f(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \qquad z \in A(\rho).$$
(2.1)

We shall consider the following two problems:

Problem A. For given  $f \in \mathscr{A}(A(\rho))$ , find the polynomial  $P_{rm+n}$  defined by

$$P_{rm+n}(z) = P_{rm+n}(f;z) = \sum_{-rm+n}^{rm+n} c_{\nu} z^{\nu}$$
(2.2)

which satisfies

$$[P_{rm+n}^{(\nu)}(\omega^k) - f^{(\nu)}(\omega^k)] = 0 \qquad (\nu = 0, 1, ..., r-1, k = 0, 1, ..., 2m-1), (2.3)$$

where  $\omega^{2m} = 1$ , and which minimizes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} |P_{rm+n}^{(r)}(\omega^k) - f^{(r)}(\omega_k)|^2, \qquad (2.4)$$

over all polynomials of the form (2.2) which satisfy (2.3).

Problem B. Find the region where the difference

$$P_{rm+n}(f;z) - S_{rm+n}(f;z)$$
(2.5)

tends to zero as  $n \to \infty$ , when m = nq + c, where c and q are positive integer constants, and where

$$S_{rm+n}(f;z) = \sum_{-rm-n}^{rm+n} a_j z^j$$
(2.6)

is a section of the Laurent series (2.1) of f.

The solution to Problem A is given by

**THEOREM 1.** The polynomial  $P_{rm+n}(f; z)$  of the form (2.2) which satisfies (2.3) and minimizes (2.4) is given by

$$P_{rm+n}(f;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} f(t) t^{rm+n} K_1(t,z) dt, \qquad (2.7)$$

where

$$z^{rm+n}K_{1}(t, z)(t-z) = 1 - \left(\frac{z^{2m}-1}{t^{2m}-1}\right)^{r} + \frac{(z^{2m}-1)^{r}}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r+1}}t^{2m-2n-1}(t^{2n+1}-z^{2n+1}), \quad (2.8)$$

and  $C_R$  is the oriented boundary of the ring A(R).

We postpone the proof of Theorem 1 to Section 3 and proceed to state our main result.

THEOREM 2. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}(A(\rho))$ , f(z) = f(1/z) for all  $z \in A(\rho)$  and  $P_{rm+n}(f; z)$  is the solution to Problem A, and if m = nq + c, where n, q, and c are positive integers, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} [P_{rm+n}(f;z) - S_{rm+n}(f;z)] = 0,$$
(2.9)

for all  $z \in A(\tau(\rho))$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}(\rho) &= \rho^{2q-1}, & \text{when } r = 0 \\ &= \min\{\rho^{1+(2q-2)/(qr+1)}, \rho^{1+2/(qr-1)}\}, & \text{when } r \ge 1. \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

Moreover, the convergence is uniform and geometric in any compact subset of the above ring. Also the result is best possible in the sense that (2.9) fails for every z on the boundary of  $A(\tau(\rho))$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{A}(A(\rho))$ .

*Remark.* Problems A and B can also be formulated and solved in a similar way if instead of considering the minimization problem (2.4) on the zeros of  $z^{2m} = 1$ , we consider the same problem on the zeros of  $z^{2m} = -1$ . In this case,  $\omega^k$  in (2.4) is replaced by  $\omega^{k-1/2}$  and the corresponding polynomial  $\tilde{P}_{rm+n}(f; z)$ , which satisfies (2.3) and (2.4) on the zeros of  $z^{2m} = -1$ , is given by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C_R}f(t)\ t^{rm+n}\widetilde{K}_1(t,z)\ dt.$$

Here  $\tilde{K}_1(t, z)$  is obtained from (2.8) by replacing  $z^{2m} - 1$  and  $t^{2m} - 1$  in (2.8) by  $z^{2m} + 1$  and  $t^{2m} + 1$ , respectively. Also, Theorem 2 holds when  $\tilde{P}_{rm+n}(f; z)$  replaces  $P_{rm+n}(f; z)$ .

When r = 0, Theorem A gives the polynomials  $t_{n,m}(f; z)$  and  $u_{n,m}(f; z)$  of Rivlin [2] according as we use the zeros of  $z^{2m} + 1$  or of  $z^{2m} - 1$  respectively in (2.3) and (2.4).

## 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Since  $P_{rm+n}(f; z)$  is of the form (2.2) and satisfies (2.3), we have

$$z^{rm+n}P_{rm+n}(f;z) = Q_{2rm-1}(z) + (z^{2m}-1)^r R_{2n}(z), \qquad (3.1)$$

where  $R_{2n}(z) \in \Pi_{2n}$ . From (2.3), we require that

$$\left[Q_{2rm-1}(z) \, z^{-rm-n}\right]_{z=\omega^{k}}^{(\nu)} = f^{(\nu)}(\omega_{k}) \quad (\nu=0,\,1,\,...,\,r-1,\,k=0,\,1,\,...,\,2m-1).$$

Equivalently, we require

$$Q_{2mr-1}^{(v)}(\omega^{k}) = [z^{rm+n}f(z)]_{z=\omega^{k}}^{(v)}.$$

From a known formula [1], we have

$$Q_{2mr-1}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n}}{t-z} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{z^{2m}-1}{t^{2m}-1}\right)^r \right\} dt.$$
(3.2)

In order to find  $P_{rm+n}^{(r)}(\omega^k)$ , we need to evaluate

 $A_1 := [Q_{2rm-1}(z) z^{-rm-n}]_{\omega^k}^{(v)} \text{ and } A_2 := [(z^{2m}-1)^r R_{2n}(z) z^{-rm-n}]_{\omega^k}^{(v)}.$ Since

$$\left[\frac{d^r}{dz^r}(z^{2m}-1)^r\right]_{\omega^k}=r!(2m)^r\,\omega^{-kr},$$

it is easy to see that

$$A_2 = r! (2m)^r \, \omega^{-kr} R_{2n}(\omega^k) \, \omega^{-k(rm+n)} \qquad (k = 0, 1, ..., 2m-1). \tag{3.3}$$

Also from (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$A_{1} = \left[ z^{-rm-n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{R}} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n}}{t-z} dt \right]_{\omega^{k}}^{(r)}$$
$$- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_{R}} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n}}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r}} \left[ \frac{(z^{2m}-1)^{r} z^{-rm-n}}{t-z} \right]_{z=\omega^{k}}^{(r)} dt$$
$$= f^{(r)}(\omega^{k}) - \frac{r!(2m)^{r}}{2\pi i} \omega^{-k(rm+n+r)} \int_{C_{R}} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n}}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r}(t-\omega^{k})} dt.$$
(3.4)

From (3.3) and (3.4), the problem of minimizing (2.4) reduces to minimizing

$$\sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} |R_{2n}(\omega^k) - g(\omega^k)|^2$$
(3.5)

over all polynomials  $R_{2n} \in \pi_{2n}$ , where

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n}}{(t^{2m}-1)^r (t-z)} dt.$$

In order to minimize (3.5), we replace g(z) by its Lagrange interpolant on the 2m roots of unity and use a result of Rivlin [2]. Accordingly, the Lagrange interpolant of g(z) is

$$L_{2m-1}(z; g) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n} (t^{2m} - z^{2m})}{(t^{2m} - 1)^{r+1} (t-z)} dt.$$

If  $s_{2n}(z; L_{2m-1})$  denotes the Taylor polynomial of degree 2n for  $L_{2m-1}(z; g)$ , the result of Rivlin yields

$$R_{2n}(z) = s_{2n}(z; L_{2m-1}(z; g))$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{f(t) t^{mr+2m-n-1}(t^{2n+1}-z^{2n+1})}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r+1}(t-z)} dt.$  (3.6)

The formula (2.7) is obtained now on using (3.1), (3.2), and (3.6).

COROLLARY 1. If  $f \in \mathcal{A}(A(\rho))$  and if moreover  $f(z) = f(z^{-1})$  for all  $z \in A(\rho)$ , then

$$z^{rm+n}P_{rm+n}(f;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t} \left\{ t^{rm+n+1}K_1(t,z) - \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{rm+n+1}K_1\left(\frac{1}{t},z\right) \right\} dt,$$
(3.7)

where  $\Gamma$  is the circle |z| = R,  $1 < R < \rho$ .

*Proof.* Since  $C_R$  is the union of the circles |z| = R and  $|z| = R^{-1}$ , a change of variable in the integral on  $|z| = R^{-1}$  gives the result after an elementary calculation, because  $f(t) = f(t^{-1})$ .

*Remark.* We remark that when r = 0,  $P_n(f; z)$  is the polynomial  $t_{n,m}(z; f)$  of Rivlin [2].

Also from (2.6), we see that if  $f(t) = f(t^{-1})$ , then

$$z^{rm+n}S_{rm+n}(f;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t} K_0(t,z) dt, \qquad (3.8)$$

344

where

$$K_0(t,z) = \left(\frac{z}{t}\right)^{rm+n} \frac{z^{rm+n+1} - t^{rm+n+1}}{z-t} + \frac{1}{t} \frac{z^{rm+n} - (1/t)^{rm+n}}{z-(1/t)}.$$
 (3.9)

This follows also from the representation of f(z), viz.,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t} \left[ \frac{t}{t-z} - \frac{t^{-1}}{t^{-1}-z} \right] dt, \qquad (3.10)$$

when  $f(z) = f(z^{-1})$ .

COROLLARY 2. If  $f \in \mathscr{A}(A(\rho))$  and if moreover  $f(z) = f(z^{-1})$  for all  $z \in A(\rho)$ , then

$$P_{rm+n}(f;z) = P_{rm+n}(f;z^{-1}).$$
(3.11)

Proof. From (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$z^{rm+n}P_{rm+n}(f;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n}}{t-z} \times \left[ 1 + \frac{(z^{2m}-1)(1-t^{2m-2n-1}z^{2n+1})}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r+1}} \right] dt$$

and

$$\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{rm+n} P_{rm+n}(f; z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{f(t) t^{rm+n}}{t-z^{-1}} \\ \times \left[1 + \frac{(1-z^{2m})^r z^{-2mr}}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r+1}} \left(1 - \frac{t^{2m-2n-1}}{z^{2n+1}}\right)\right] dt.$$

Changing t into  $t^{-1}$  in the above and simplifying, we have

$$P_{rm+n}(f; z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \left(\frac{z}{t}\right)^{rm+n+1} \\ \times \left[1 - \frac{(z^{2m}-1)^r z^{-2m(r+1)}}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r+1} z^{2mr}} \left(1 - \frac{z^{-2n-1}}{t^{2m-2n-1}}\right)\right] dt.$$

From these we obtain after simplifying that

$$P_{rm+n}(f;z) - P_{rm+n}(f;z^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_R} \frac{f(t)}{t-z} \left[ \left(\frac{t}{z}\right)^{rm+n} - \left(\frac{z}{t}\right)^{rm+n} \right] dt = 0,$$

because the integrand is single-valued analytic in the annulus  $C_R$ .

#### DIKSHIT ET AL.

## 4. Some Lemmas and Proof of Theorem 2

The proof of Theorem 2 will require a number of estimates and to this effect we prove

LEMMA 1. If f(z) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2, then we have

$$P_{rm+n}(f;z) - S_{rm+n}(f;z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t} \Lambda(t,z) dt, \qquad (4.1)$$

where  $\Lambda(t, z)$  is given by

$$\Lambda(t, z) = S_1(t, z) + S_2(t, z) + S_3(t, z) - S_2(t^{-1}, z) - S_3(t^{-1}, z)$$
(4.2)

and

$$S_{1}(t, z) = -\frac{(t^{2mr+2n+1} - z^{2mr+2n+1})}{(t-z)(tz)^{rm+n}},$$

$$S_{2}(t, z) = \frac{t^{mr+n+1}\{(t^{2m}-1)^{r} - (z^{2m}-1)^{r}\}}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r}(t-z)z^{rm+n}},$$

$$S_{3}(t, z) = \frac{(z^{2m}-1)^{r}t^{mr+2m-n}(t^{2n+1}-z^{2n+1})}{(t^{2m}-1)^{r+1}(t-z)z^{rm+n}}.$$
(4.3)

*Proof.* These formulae are obtained from (3.7) and (3.8) and on adding the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(t)}{t} \left\{ \frac{z^{rm+n} - t^{rm+n}}{t-z} + \frac{1}{t} \frac{z^{rm+n} - (1/t)^{rm+n}}{z-t^{-1}} \right\} dt$$

to the right side of (3.7), since it is easily seen to be zero when f(t) = f(1/t).

LEMMA 2. The following identity holds:

$$\frac{(t^{2m}-1)^r - (z^{2m}-1)^r}{t-z} = \sum_{k=0}^{2mr-1} z^k t^{-k-1} A_k(t), \tag{4.4}$$

where  $A_k(t)$  is a polynomial such that

$$A_{2m\nu}(t) = A_{2m\nu+1}(t) = \dots = A_{2m(\nu+1)-1}(t)$$
  
=  $(t^{2m}-1)^r - \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} (-1)^{r-j} {r \choose j} t^{2mj}$   
=  $\sum_{j=\nu+1}^{r} (-1)^{r-j} {r \choose j} t^{2mj}$  ( $\nu = 0, 1, ..., r-1$ ). (4.5)

This is easily verified. When r = 0,  $A_k(t)$ 's are all zero, and when r = 1,  $A_k(t) = t^{2m}$ .

LEMMA 3. If we set

$$\Lambda(t, z) = \sum_{j=-mr-n}^{mr+n} \lambda_j(t) z^j, \qquad (4.6)$$

then 
$$\lambda_{j}(t) = \lambda_{-j}(t), j = 1, 2, ..., rm + n, and for |t| = R (1 < R < \rho), we have$$
  
 $\lambda_{|j|}(t) = O(R^{-mr - n - 1}), \qquad m(r - 2\lambda - 2) + n + 1 \le |j| \le m(r - 2\lambda) - n - 1$   
 $= O(R^{-mr - 2m + n}), \qquad \max(0, m(r - 2\lambda) - n) \le |j| \le m(r - 2\lambda) + n.$ 
(4.7)

The proof of this lemma depends on Lemma 2 and (4.3). The estimates (4.7) can be used to prove Theorem 2, but we provide here a simple proof.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Set  $A := S_1(t, z) + S_2(t, z) + S_3(t, z)$ . Then from (4.3) we obtain

$$A = \frac{z^{mr+n+1}}{(t-z) t^{mr+n}} - \frac{(1-z^{-2m})^r}{(1-t^{-2m})^{r+1}} \frac{z^{mr-n}}{t^{mr+2m-n-1}} \frac{(-1+z^{2n+1}t^{2m-2n-1})^r}{(t-z)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{t-z} \left[ \frac{z^{mr+n+1}}{t^{mr+n}} + \frac{z^{mr-n}(1-z^{-2m})^r}{t^{mr+2m-n-1}(1-t^{-2m})^{r+1}} - \frac{z^{mr+n+1}}{t^{mr+n}} \frac{(1-z^{-2m})^r}{(1-t^{-2m})^{r+1}} \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{t-z} \left[ \frac{z^{mr+n+1}}{t^{mr+n}} \left\{ O(z^{-2m}) + O(t^{-2m}) \right\} + \frac{z^{mr-n}}{t^{mr+2m-n-1}} \left\{ 1 + O(z^{-2m}) + O(t^{-2m}) \right\} \right],$$

where we may assume without loss of generality that |z| > 1, |t| > 1. Moreover, we observe that if we set  $B := S_1(1/t, z) + S_3(1/t, z)$ , then using (4.3) again we see that

$$B = \frac{t^{-mr-n-1}\left\{(t^{-2m}-1)^r - (z^{2m}-1)^r\right\}}{(t^{-2m}-1)^r(t^{-1}-z)z^{mr+n}} + \frac{(z^{2m}-1)^r}{(t^{-2m}-1)^{r+1}}\frac{t^{-mr-2m+n}(t^{-2n-1}-z^{2n+1})}{(t^{-1}-z)z^{mr+n}}.$$

347

Some simplification yields

$$B = \frac{1}{1 - tz} \left[ \frac{1}{(tz)^{rm+n}} - \frac{(-1)^r z^{mr-n}}{t^{mr+n}} \left( 1 + O(z^{-2m}) + O(t^{-2m}) \right) + \frac{(-1)^r z^{mr+n+1}}{t^{mr+2m-n-1}} \left( 1 + O(z^{-2m}) + O(t^{-2m}) \right) \right].$$

From the above estimates for A and B we see that as  $n \to \infty$ ,

$$A = O\left(\frac{z^{mr+n}}{t^{mr+n+2m}}\right) + O\left(\frac{z^{mr-n}}{t^{mr+2m-n-1}}\right)$$

and

$$B = O\left(\frac{z^{mr-n}}{t^{mr+n}}\right) + O\left(\frac{z^{mr+n+1}}{t^{mr+2m-n-1}}\right).$$

Thus we have

$$\Lambda(t, z) = O\left(\frac{z^{mr-n}}{t^{mr+n}}\right) + O\left(\frac{z^{mr+n+1}}{t^{mr+2m-m-1}}\right),$$
(4.8)

which tends to zero if

$$|z| < \min\{\rho^{(mr+n)/(mr-n)}, \rho^{(mr+2m-n-1)/(mr+n+1)}\}$$

This gives the result when  $n \to \infty$  and completes the proof for r > 1.

For r = 0,  $S_2(t, z)$  and  $S_2(1/t, z)$  do not occur and the estimate in Theorem 2 is easily obtained from (4.8), since in this case  $\Lambda(t, z) = O(z^{n+1}/t^{2m-n-1})$ .

*Remark.* For r = 0, the polynomial  $P_n(z)$  in Theorem 1 can be easily seen to be the polynomial  $t_{n,m(n)}(z, f)$  of Rivlin [2]. In fact we can see from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$P_n(z) = t_{n,m(n)}(z,f) = \sum_{j=0}^{n'} T_j(z) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(t) \left( \frac{t^{2m-j-1}}{t^{2m}-1} + \frac{t^{j-1}}{t^{2m}-1} \right) dt.$$

If we set

$$s_{n,\nu}(z,f) = \sum_{j=0}^{n'} (A_{2\nu m+j} + A_{2\nu m-j}) T_j(z) \qquad (\nu = 1, 2, 3, ...),$$

where f(z) is given by (1.3), then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} t_{n,m}(z;f) - s_n(z;f) - \sum_{\nu=1}^{l-1} s_{n,\nu}(z;f) = 0$$
(4.9)

for  $|z| < \rho^{2lq-1}$ .

Theorems 1 and 2 can be formulated for functions in  $\mathcal{A}(A(\rho))$  and an analogue of (4.9) can also be obtained from the representation (4.1).

It would be interesting to obtain sharpness results analogous to those of Saff and Varga [3] and the analogue of Theorem 2 above when Hermite interpolation is replaced by lacunary interpolation as in [6].

#### REFERENCES

- 1. A. S. CAVARETTA, JR., A. SHARMA, AND R. S. VARGA, Interpolation in the roots of unity: An extension of a theorem of J. L. Walsh, *Resultate Math.* 3 (1980), 155–191.
- 2. T. J. RIVLIN, On Walsh equiconvergence, J. Approx. Theory 36 (1982), 334-345.
- 3. E. B. SAFF AND R. S. VARGA, A note on the sharpness of J. L. Walsh's theorem and its extensions for interpolation in the roots of unity, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 41 (1983), 371–377.
- 4. R. S. VARGA, Topics in polynomial and rational interpolation and approximation, in "Séminaire de Math. Supérieures 81," Chap. IV, Univ. Montreal, Montreal, Canada, 1982.
- J. L. WALSH, "Interpolation and Approximation by Rational Functions," Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. XX, 5th ed., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969.
- 6. R. B. SAXENA, A. SHARMA, AND Z. ZIEGLER, Hermite-Birkhoff interpolation on roots of unity and Walsh equiconvergence, J. Linear Algebra Appl. 52/53 (1983), 603-615.